COUNTY OF INYO

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2007

Single Audit Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Table of Contents

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1-2
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in	
Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6-9
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10-11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	12-17
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	18
Supplementary Schedule of Grant Expenditures	19



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Inyo Independence, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Inyo, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Inyo's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Inyo

<u>Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> (continued)

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County of Inyo's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain other matters that we reported to the management of the County of Inyo in a separate letter dated March 19, 2008.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors, the Grand Jury, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

BARTIG, BASLER & RAY, LLP A GALLINA LLP Company

Barting, Basker 4 Rey, Lip

Roseville, California

March 19, 2008



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Inyo Independence, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Inyo, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The County of Inyo's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County of Inyo's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County of Inyo's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County of Inyo's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County of Inyo's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County of Inyo complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 07-SA-01 and 07-SA-02.

Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Inyo

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County of Inyo is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Inyo's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County of Inyo's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the County of Inyo's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as described below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 07-SA-01 and 07-SA-02 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider any of the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2008. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by

Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Inyo

OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The Supplementary Schedule of Grant Expenditures, on page 19, has not been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

The County's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's response, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors, the Grand Jury, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than theses specific parties.

BARTIG, BASLER & RAY, LLP A GALLINA LLP Company

Barting, Busher Ray, Lip

Roseville, California

March 19, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through State Department of Social Services:			
Food Stamps	10.551		\$ 1,104,544
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program	10.561		142,054
Subtotal			1,246,598
Passed through State Department of Health Services:			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants,			
and Children	10.557	-	249,164
Passed through State Controller's Office:			
Schools and Roads - Grants to States	10.665		206,162
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 1,701,924
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Passed through State Department of Housing and Community Development:			
Community Development Block Grant	14.228		241,336
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			\$ 241,336
U.S. Department of the Interior			
Direct Program:			
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226		1,002,298
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			\$ 1,002,298
U.S. Department of Justice			
Passed through State Office of Emergency Services:			
Anti-Drug Abuse Enforcement Program - Edward Byrne	16.579	DC06170140	109,926
Victim Witness Assistant Center	16.579	VW06150140	34,513
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 144,439
U.S. Department of Labor			
Passed through Kern County:			
Workforce Investment Act	17.200		67,495
Total U.S. Department of Labor			\$ 67,495

^{*} Major Program

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed through State Department of Transportation (Division of				
Aeronautics):				
Airport Improvement Program	20.106*	DTFA08-01-C-21447	\$ 493,672	
Airport Improvement Program	20.106*	DTFA-08-03-C-21713	35,122	
Airport Improvement Program	20.106*	DTFA08-06-C-21988	460,848	
Airport Improvement Program	20.106*	DTFA08-06-C-21989	8,604	
Subtotal			998,246	
Passed through State Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205*	RSTPLER-5948 (047)	10,236	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205*	BRLO-5948-001	5,491	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205*	BRLO-5948-051	3,137	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205*		673,353	
Subtotal			692,217	
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	20.509*	645415	123,170	
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	20.509*	646173	55,919	
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	20.509*	646172	78	
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	20.509*	646421	169,198	
Subtotal		0.00,21	348,365	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 2,038,828	
U.S. Department of Energy				
Direct Program:				
U.S. Department of Energy Cooperative Agreement	81.065		1,231,838	
Yucca Mountain Oversight Grant	81.065		1,020,983	
			1,020,905	
Total U.S. Department of Energy	·		\$ 2,252,821	
U.S. Department of Education Passed through State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs:				
Drug-Free Schools and Communities – State Grants	84.186	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	155,751	
Total U.S. Department of Education			\$ 155,751	

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through State Department of Social Services:			
Family Preservation and Support Services	93.556		\$ 13,259
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558*		1,222,257
Child Support Enforcement	93.563		569,581
Child Welfare Services - State Grants	93.645		10,235
Foster Care – Title IV-E	93.658		588,724
Child Welfare Services - Title XX	93.667		11,897
Adoption Assistance Program	93.659	- -	51,459
Independent Living	93.674		24,146
Subtotal			2,491,558
Passed through State Department of Health Services:			
Maternal and Child Health Services	93.110		176,692
California Children's Services	93,767		27,921
Medical Assistance Program	93.778*		•
Children's Health and Disability Program	93.994		384,265 94,388
Subtotal	75.754	_	683,266
Passed through the State Department of Mental Health:			
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services			
Administration (SAMHSA)	93.958	- -	160,407
Passed through State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse	·		
Programs:			
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance			
Abuse (SAPT)	93.959*		394,340_
Total Department of Health and Human Services			\$ 3,729,571
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through Governor's Office of Emergency Services:			
FY 2006 Emergency Management Grant Program	97.042	2006-08	16,868
Passed through Governor's Office of Homeland Security:			**
FY 2004 Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP)	07.072	2004 45	
FY 2004 Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP)	97.073	2004-45	17,756
Subtotal Security Grant Program (SHSP)	97.073	2005-15	59,260
Subtotal			77,016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security (continued) Passed through Governor's Office of Homeland Security (continued)	ued):			
FY 2004 Homeland Security Grant Program (LETPP)	97.074	2004-45	\$	3,544
FY 2005 Homeland Security Grant Program (LETPP)	97.074	2005-15		11,392
FY 2006 Homeland Security Grant Program (LETPP)	97.074	2006-71		5,416
Subtotal				20,352
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$	114,236
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		·	\$ 1	1,448,699

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Note 1: Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the County of Inyo. The County of Inyo reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies is included in the schedule.

Note 2: **Basis of Accounting**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the Entity and is presented on generally accepted accounting principles. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

Note 3: Relationship to Financial Statements

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the County's financial statements. Federal award revenues are reported principally in the County's financial statements as intergovernmental revenues in the General and Special Revenue funds.

Note 4: **Subrecipients**

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the County provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Federal <u>CFDA</u>		Subrecipient	 Amount
17.200	IMACA		\$ 67,495

Note 5: Pass-Through Entities' Identifying Number

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the County was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Note 6: **Program Clusters**

Federal programs, which must be audited together as a program cluster, include the following:

Federal <u>CFDA</u>							
Food Stan	np Cluster:						
10.551	\$ 1,104,544						
10.561	142,054						
	<u>\$ 1,246,598</u>						
Homelana	d Security Cluster:						
97.073 97.074	Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) Homeland Security Grant Program (LETPP)	\$ 77,016 20,352					
	<u>\$ 97,368</u>						

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Se	ection 1	Summary of Auditor's Results
<u>Fi</u>	nancial Statements	
1.	Type of auditor's report issued:	Unqualified
2.	Internal control over financial reporting:a. Material weaknesses identified?b. Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	No None Reported
3.	Noncompliance material to financial statements note	-
<u>Fe</u>	deral Awards	
1.	Internal controls over major program: a. Material weaknesses identified? b. Significant deficiencies identified not	No
	considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
2.	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major program:	Unqualified
3.	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular OMB A-133, Section 510(a)?	Yes
4.	Identification of major program:	
	<u>CFDA Number</u> 20.106 20.205 20.509 93.558 93.778 93.959	Name of Federal Program Airport Improvement Program Highway Planning and Construction Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Medical Assistance Program Block Grants for Prevention and
		and Treatment of Substance Abuse
5.	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between	

\$ 343,461

Type A and Type B programs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section 1

Federal Awards (continued)

Summary of Auditor's Results

6. Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133, Section 530?

Yes

Section 2

Financial Statement Findings

None Reported

Section 3

Federal Awards and Questioned Costs

CFDA 93.558 and 93.778 CFDA 93.959

Finding 07-SA-1 Finding 07-SA-2

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings/Noncompliance Program Finding 07-SA-1 Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services State Department of Social Services Pass-Through Entity. Temporary Assistance for Compliance Requirement: Procurement and Suspension and Needy Families Debarment CFDA 93.558 Significant Deficiency and Material Reporting Requirement: Non-Compliance in Relation to a Award No. n/a Compliance Requirement Year: 2006-2007. Criteria and We find that many local governments are not aware of new requirements regarding suspension and debarment, which became Medical Assistance effective November 26, 2003. Program

Award No. n/a Year: 2006-2007

CFDA 93.778

Procurement, suspension and debarment is one of the 14 types of compliance requirements included in Part 3 of the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. On November 26, 2003, new suspension and debarment rules were published in the Federal Register (68FR66583–66632). Prior to this revision, when a non-federal entity entered into a federally-funded transaction which equaled or exceeded \$100,000 or for any amount with a subrecipient, only a certificate of compliance signed by the other party to the transaction was required. Now, under the new rules, for any federally-funded transaction which equals or exceeds \$25,000 or for any amount with a subrecipient, the non-federal entity is obligated to check to make sure that the other party to the transaction is not suspended or debarred. As well, the debarment rules now provide that this requirement be imposed on, and relevant information provided to, all subrecipients of federal funds.

Condition

We inquired about the Department's procedures regarding suspension and debarment and learned that the Department does not have a procedure in place to verify that large vendors are not suspended or debarred.

Questioned Costs

No costs are questioned.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Program Findings/Noncompliance						
110gram	1 mangs/tvoicomphanee					
Finding 07-SA-1 (continued)	Perspective					
(The county is small and this program has only a few federally funded					
Temporary	transactions which equal or exceed \$25,000.					
Assistance for	transactions which equal of exceed \$25,000.					
Needy Families	Effort of the Condition					
CFDA 93.558	Effect of the Condition					
CFDA 93.338						
A1NT/-	The federal government can reject a claim for federal funds					
Award No. n/a	reimbursement when the underlying transaction was with a suspended					
Year: 2006-2007	or debarred individual or entity.					
a.m. d	D 1 4					
and	Recommendation					
Medical	We recommend that the Department involunce to me and their which					
	We recommend that the Department implement procedures which					
Assistance	require that the Department conduct a review to ensure that the other					
Program	party to the transaction is not suspended or debarred for the following:					
CFDA 93.778	(1) any federally-funded transaction which equals or exceeds \$25,000;					
A 13T / :	and (2) all subrecipients no matter what the federal amount involved.					
Award No. n/a	Lists of suspended and debarred parties are available online from the					
Year: 2006-2007	Excluded Parties List System at < http://www.epls.gov >.					
	Commenting Antique Diag					
	Corrective Action Plan					
	Inyo County has implemented a new procedure whereby the Auditor-					
	Controller's Office will verify that vendors have not been suspended or					
	debarred on the "Excluded Parties List System" website before					
	contracts are encumbered.					
	contracts are encumbered.					
	Leslie Chapman, Auditor-Controller, at (760) 878-0252 is the contact					
	person for this plan.					
	person for time plant.					

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 07-SA-2

Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse CFDA 93.959

Award No. n/a Year: 2006-2007 Federal Grantor:

U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Pass-Through Entity:

State Department of Alcohol and Drug

Programs

Compliance Requirement:

Procurement and Suspension and

Debarment

Reporting Requirement:

Significant Deficiency and Material

Non-Compliance in Relation to a

Compliance Requirement

Criteria

We find that many local governments are not aware of new requirements regarding suspension and debarment, which became effective November 26, 2003.

Procurement, suspension and debarment is one of the 14 types of compliance requirements included in Part 3 of the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. On November 26, 2003, new suspension and debarment rules were published in the Federal Register (68FR66583–66632). Prior to this revision, when a non-federal entity entered into a federally-funded transaction which equaled or exceeded \$100,000 or for any amount with a subrecipient, only a certificate of compliance signed by the other party to the transaction was required. Now, under the new rules, for any federally-funded transaction which equals or exceeds \$25,000 or for any amount with a subrecipient, the non-federal entity is obligated to check to make sure that the other party to the transaction is not suspended or debarred. As well, the debarment rules now provide that this requirement be imposed on, and relevant information provided to, all subrecipients of federal funds.

Condition

We inquired about the Department's procedures regarding suspension and debarment and learned that the Department does not have a procedure in place to verify that large vendors are not suspended or debarred.

Questioned Costs

No costs are questioned.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 07-SA-2 (continued)

Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse CFDA 93.959

Award No. n/a Year: 2006-2007

Perspective

The county is small and this program has only a few federally funded transactions which equal or exceed \$25,000.

Effect of the Condition

The federal government can reject a claim for federal funds reimbursement when the underlying transaction was with a suspended or debarred individual or entity.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department implement procedures which require that the Department conduct a review to ensure that the other party to the transaction is not suspended or debarred for the following: (1) any federally-funded transaction which equals or exceeds \$25,000; and (2) all subrecipients no matter what the federal amount involved. Lists of suspended and debarred parties are available online from the Excluded Parties List System at http://www.epls.gov.

Corrective Action Plan

Inyo County has implemented a new procedure whereby the Auditor-Controller's Office will verify that vendors have not been suspended or debarred on the "Excluded Parties List System" website before contracts are encumbered.

Leslie Chapman, Auditor-Controller, at (760) 878-0252 is the contact person for this plan.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Audit Reference
Number

Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

Finding 06-1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) CFDA 93.558

Award No. n/a Year: 2005-2006

Recommendation

We recommend that the County review this instance of a missing case file, determine what may have led to its disappearance, and implement any necessary procedures to ensure documents are well organized and safeguarded. Such procedures may include periodic reviews of paper files by workers and supervisors.

Status

Corrected.

SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF GRANT EXPENDITURES

Supplementary Schedule of Grant Expenditures For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Office of Emergency Services Grants

The following represents expenditures for Office of Emergency Services programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

	Expenditures Claimed					Share of Expenditures Current Year						
Program	,	the Period For the Year Cumulative Through Ended As of e 30, 2006 June 30, 2007 June 30, 2007		Federal Share			State Share		County Share			
DC06170140 - Anti-Drug Al Personal services	ouse E	inforcement	Pro \$	gram 66,045	\$	184,763	\$	66,045	\$		\$	
Operating expenses Equipment		59,151 		43,881		103,032		43,881	-			
Totals	\$	177,869	\$	109,926	\$	287,795	\$	109,926	\$		\$	
VB06040140 - Vertical Pros	ecutio	n Program										
Personal services	\$	38,326	\$	27,866	\$	66,192	\$		\$	27,866	\$	
Operating expenses Equipment		4,389		16,990 20,144		21,379 20,144				16,990		
Totals	\$	42,715	\$	65,000	\$	107,715	\$		\$	20,144 65,000	\$	
VW06150140 - Victim Witn	ess As	ssistant Cen	ter									
Personal services	\$	73,179	\$	70,727	\$	143,906	\$	34,513	\$	36,214	\$	
Operating expenses		1,320		7,551		8,871				7,551		
Equipment	ď	74.400	<u> </u>	79.279	Ф.	150 777	•	24.512	<u></u>	42.765	<u></u>	
Totals	\$	74,499	\$	78,278	\$	152,777	\$	34,513	\$	43,765	\$	